

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 04/07/2004

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/510,984	02/22/2000	A. Bruce Cleveland	2543-0015-2	2386
22850	7590 04/07/2004		EXAMINER	
OBLON, SPIVAK, MCCLELLAND, MAIER & NEUSTADT, P.C. 1940 DUKE STREET			GRAHAM, CLEMENT B	
	STREET SIA, VA 22314		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
•	,		3628	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

## **Advisory Action**

Application No.

O9/510,984

CLEVELAND ET AL.

Examiner

Clement B Graham

3628

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

THE REPLY FILED 03 December 2003 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.

Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.
PERIOD FOR REPLY [check either a) or b)]
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of the final rejection.  b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.  ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).  Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).
1. ☑ A Notice of Appeal was filed on <u>4 March 2004</u> . Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because:
(a) they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);
(b) $\square$ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below); (c) $\square$ they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the
issues for appeal; and/or  (d)  they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.
NOTE:
<ul> <li>3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):</li> <li>4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).</li> </ul>
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: See Continuation Sheet.
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) will not be entered or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:
Claim(s) allowed:
Claim(s) objected to:
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-4,6-12,14-18 and 20</u> .
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:
8. The drawing correction filed on is a) approved or b) disapproved by the Examiner.
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)( PTO-1449) Paper No(s).  10. Other:  HYUNG SOUGH  FOR CENTER 3600
28 3 dates 57 2 m.



the newly added limitations e.g. said second formatted account information is formatted in HTML and said trabsferring step inclused superimposing said second formatted account information on a background image corresponding to a background of the origanal printed account statement requires further search and consideration.

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicant's arguments that the Claims 1, 9, 15, does not teach or

suggest" formatting first formatted account information into second formatted account information and transferring a second formatted account information from storage area to display a device in a format that appears identical in all material aspects from the original printed account statement "this limitation is disclose as stated in a combination of teachings where. Erwin disclose the system enables the user such as business, to make format modifications. (See column 1 lines 55-65 and the user entering the data on one or more input reports o the system and the system automatically displays a company window showing the input reports and the data that was entered on the displayed input reports, the system then generate one or more calculated reports based on the input data, which then the system automatically displays for the user to view. See column 3 lines 5-10 and the historical data for an entity is gathered by the user by retrieving the data from database such as a computer database, storing the data. (See column 2 line 65 and a second user interactively inputting a request for a second formatted account information from the system. See column 5 lines 55-65 and column 6 line 5 and Ogaw disclose formatting first formatted account information into a second formatted account information. (see column 23 lines 10-65). Ogawa also disclose transferring a second formatted account information from a storage area to a display device wherein the first formatted account information comprises formatted information for a printed account statement. (See column 2 lines 30-65 and column line 5 and column 8 lines 50-65 and column 9 line 5 and column 26 lines 45-55). The Examiner summits that it is clear that the teachings of Erwin modify to include Ogawa can be used to perform the function of formatting first formatted account information into a second formatted account information or transferring a second formatted account information from a storage area to a display device wherein the first formatted account information comprises formatted information for a printed account statement. .